Human skin-like core/shell material encapsulating structures for wearable electronics serve to minimize interface stresses and mechanical constraints on natural body motions, with ability to strain isolate the active devices. On page 3698, Y. Huang, J. A. Rogers, and co-workers show how integrating emerging commercial classes of stretchable electronics into this type of structure provides systems with capabilities in continuous, precision wireless monitoring of motion and skin temperatures during exercise.
This paper presents materials and core/shell architectures that provide optimized mechanical properties in packages for stretchable electronic systems. Detailed experimental and theoretical studies quantitatively connect the geometries and elastic properties of the constituent materials to the overall mechanical responses of the integrated systems, with a focus on interfacial stresses, effective modulus, and maximum extent of elongation. Specific results include core/shell designs that lead to peak values of the shear and normal stresses on the skin that remain less than 10 kPa even for applied strains of up to 20%, thereby inducing minimal somatosensory perception of the device on the human skin. Additional, strain-limiting mesh structures embedded in the shell improve mechanical robustness by protecting the active components from strains that would otherwise exceed the fracture point. Demonstrations in precommercial stretchable electronic systems illustrate the utility of these concepts.

1. Introduction

Advances in stretchable electronics enable soft, conformal integration of high performance semiconductor devices onto various internal and external surfaces of the human body. These mechanical features result in highly functional abiotic/biotic interfaces with the potential for diverse applications in healthcare. For mounting on the skin, desirable physical attributes include low elastic modulus and reversible response to large strain deformations (up to ~30%), in formats that minimize the stresses that develop at the interface with the skin. These properties not only facilitate robust bonding, but also reduce any mechanical sensation associated with coupling of the device to the skin. Recent work demonstrates that such characteristics can be achieved at the system level, even with hard, commercially available electronic chips, by exploiting microfluidic spaces that decouple the mechanics of the constituent devices and associated interconnect networks from the supporting elastomeric substrate and encapsulation layer. A disadvantage of this construct arises from the possibility of fluid leakage. In the present paper, we demonstrate the extent to which replacement of the fluid in this type
of core/shell structure with an ultralow modulus solid elastomer can capture the favorable mechanics while simultaneously eliminating any possibility for leakage. Experimental and theoretical studies reveal the important features of the underlying materials and mechanics aspects and their dependence on key design variables. The results not only demonstrate effective core/shell designs, but also establish general design rules with broad implications for the field of stretchable electronics.

2. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 presents a schematic cross-sectional illustration of the core/shell design with a thin, stretchable electronic system located at the midpoint. The electronics include device islands joined together by serpentine interconnects. A sheet of polyimide (60 µm thickness, Young’s modulus \( E = 2.5 \text{ GPa} \)) geometrically configured using a laser system (LMT-5000s Dual Laser System, Potomac, USA) into the dimensions of the electronics serves as a simple test vehicle in the studies of the mechanics. Details are in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. A fully functional, wireless system with similar layout, integrated in an optimized core/shell construct, appears at the end. The key feature of the layout of Figure 1a is that electronics reside in an ultralow-modulus elastomer (core) to provide mechanical isolation from the surroundings. A thin enclosure formed using a different elastomer (shell) provides a robust, mechanically tough interface for handling and lamination onto the skin. This layout captures some of the mechanical advantages of recently reported microfluidic packaging schemes but without the need for hermetic sealing.\(^1\) The structure reported here uses a silicone elastomer (Silbione RT Gel 4717 A/B, Bluestar Silicone, USA, \( E = 5 \text{ kPa} \), thickness \( h_{\text{core}} \) in Figure 1a) for the core and a thin layer of silicone with a modified formulation (Ecoflex, Smooth-On, Easton, Pennsylvania, \( E = 60 \text{ kPa} \), thickness \( h_{\text{shell}} \) in Figure 1a) for the shell. Figure 1b,c presents an optical image and a schematic illustration in a peel-away view at one of the corners. The system can softly integrate onto the epidermis in a manner that minimizes interfacial stresses and mechanical constraints on natural body motions and, at the same time, enables application and removal without damage to the device or the skin. Additional strain-limiting mesh structures can be embedded in the shell to improve the mechanical robustness, as described subsequently.

The extremely low effective tensile modulus of this system represents an important characteristic. Figure 2a shows the stress–strain curves of the core/shell package (\( h_{\text{core}} = 500 \text{ µm} \) and \( h_{\text{shell}} = 5 \text{ µm} \)), with and without the electronics (here, the polyimide mechanical test structure), obtained from dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA, TA instruments, Q800) and finite element analysis (FEA). The experimental (DMA) and computational (FEA) results agree well without any parameter fitting. Here, the force is applied to regions at opposite ends of the core/shell package. The stress (shown in logarithmic scale) is the ratio of force to the net cross sectional area of the core/shell package, and the strain is the percentage elongation of the electronic portion of the system, given by \( \epsilon = \Delta L/L \), where \( L \) is initial length (Figure 1a) and \( \Delta L \) is the change due to stretching. The effective tensile moduli obtained from Figure 2a are \( \approx 22 \) and \( \approx 5 \text{ kPa} \) for the package with and without electronics, respectively. Both values are far smaller than the modulus of the skin (\( \approx 130 \text{ kPa} \)),\(^5\) suggesting that the system will impose minimal mechanical constraints on the motion of the skin. For comparison, Figure 2a also shows the results for the same electronics...
but encapsulated above and below with a standard elastomer used in stretchable electronics (Sylgard 184, \(E = 1\) MPa, total thickness \(\approx 1\) mm). The effective tensile moduli in this case, with and without the electronics, are 2.8 and 1 MPa, respectively. These values are more than 120 times larger than those for the core/shell design, and they are more than ten times larger than that of the skin. Significant differences also exist in the degree of stretchability. Assuming a 3% yield strain for the polyimide, the elastic stretchabilities of systems with the core/shell and standard packages are 22% and 5%, respectively. Representative strain distributions for the core/shell structure at \(\varepsilon = 22\%\) and the standard package at \(\varepsilon = 5\%\) appear in Figure S2 (Supporting Information).

The value of \(h_{\text{core}}\) is critically important due to its role in mechanical isolation, i.e., so-called strain isolation\(^{[6–8]}\) of the electronics. Figure 2b presents experimental (DMA) and computational (FEA) results of uniaxial stress–strain responses for different values of \(h_{\text{core}}\), with all other parameters fixed (\(h_{\text{shell}} = 5\) µm), and clamping in the same configuration as results for Figure 2a. As with the other results, these experimentally obtained stress–strain curves agree well with FEA without any parameter fitting. The effective tensile modulus of the core/shell package decreases as the \(h_{\text{core}}\) increases, and approaches an asymptotic value for large \(h_{\text{core}}\) (>≈300 µm).

Actual use involves lamination of the devices on the surface of the skin. Here, the stresses that develop at the interface during deformation of the skin are important because they can drive delamination and they determine the somatosensory perception of the presence of the device. Figure 2c shows the shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions at the interface obtained by FEA for the case of \(h_{\text{core}} = 50–300\) µm and \(h_{\text{shell}} = 5\) µm with a device laminated onto a phantom skin substrate (Ecoflex, 2 mm thickness, \(E = 60\) kPa). The shear and normal stresses with \(h_{\text{core}} = 50\) and 100 µm show many regions that exceed the threshold for somatosensory perception of forces by normal skin (20 kPa)\(^{[5]}\) whereas those for \(h_{\text{core}} = 300\) µm are below threshold at almost all locations. Additional plots for the case of heightened skin sensitivity (≈2 kPa)\(^{[9,10]}\) appear in
Figure S3 (Supporting Information). The results clearly show that the stresses on the skin decrease as \( h_{\text{core}} \) increases. Varying \( h_{\text{shell}} \) has no significant effects because, for the range studied, \( h_{\text{shell}} \) is much smaller than \( h_{\text{core}} \). Results are summarized in Figures S4 and S5 (Supporting Information) for normal and heightened skin sensitivities.

Figure 3a (left column) presents optical images of a device and computed stress distributions for phantom skin (Ecoflex, 2 mm thickness, patterned with a square array of fiducial markers on the backside to highlight the deformations) with \( h_{\text{core}} = 500 \mu m \) and \( h_{\text{shell}} = 5 \mu m \), for \( \varepsilon = 20\% \). Here, the force is applied to regions at opposite ends of the skin. The green dashed lines highlight the outer boundary of the package. The length of the electronics region increases from an original value of 42.8 mm to 51.4 mm (Figure 3a, left column). Results for stretching in the orthogonal direction appear in Figure S6 (Supporting Information). The deformation in the phantom skin shows little constraint in motion associated with the
values that reach ≈100 kPa.

higher than the threshold for sensation (20 kPa), with peak normal stresses of the surface of the skin are substantially (Figure 3a, right column). Here, the corresponding shear and phantom skin in Figure 3a, left column) to reach 20% strain directly (as opposed to stretching by application of forces to the sufficiently stiff that the standard package must be stretched to counter the effects of large strains. [11] This layer can take advantage is an increased potential for inadvertent stretching of the electronics beyond the fracture limits. The addition of a layer with a strongly nonlinear stress–strain relationship can eliminate this disadvantage by offering a low modulus response at small strains and high modulus response for strains larger than 40% (Figure 4b). Figure 4c presents calculated (FEA) stress distributions at the edge for a representative core/shell structure (h_core = 500 µm, h_shell = 5 µm, and a length evaluated at the top of the package of 62 mm as shown in Figure 3e) under uniaxial stretching of the skin with ε = 20% for different taper angles (α = 5°, 30°, 45°, 75°, 90°). For all cases, the stresses display maximum values at the edge, with local maxima (dashed circle in Figure 3e) observable for large angles near the inside corner of the core (marked by the circle in the inset image). As expected, the edge stresses decrease with taper angle, thereby reducing the propensity for edge-initiated delamination. The corresponding normal stresses for Figure 3b–e appear in Figure S7 (Supporting Information).

The soft, low modulus mechanical properties enabled by the strain-isolating core material are key features of the design. An associated disadvantage is in an increased potential for inadvertent stretching of the electronics beyond the fracture limits. As a result, the values lie below the threshold for normal skin sensitivity (20 kPa), even at ε = 20%. By contrast, even at 5% strain, the stress concentrations for the standard package reach ≈60 kPa and are responsible for the delamination and ripples apparent in Figure 3a (middle column). The edge stresses for the core/shell structure can be reduced even further by decreasing h_core, or h_shell (Figure 3c,d). Reducing h_core increases, however, stresses in the central regions of the skin, as discussed in Figures 2c and S3 (Supporting Information). As a result, h_core must be selected to balance the stresses on the skin beneath the electronics (Figure 2c, for normal skin sensitivity) and the stress concentrations at the edges (Figure 3c, to prevent delamination). Tapering the thickness of the perimeter boundary of the core/shell structure provides an alternative means to decrease the edge stresses in a manner that does not affect the central regions of the skin. Figure 3e presents experimental results for the strain–stress response of a strain-limiting layer only and of the core/shell structure with the strain-limiting layer. d,e) Optical images of the system and FEA results of shear and normal stress distribution of the phantom skin for the core/shell package with strain-limiting layer at 20% strain.

electronics, as illustrated by the uniform separations between the fiducial dots. The FEA results indicate that the shear and normal stresses on the skin are less than ≈10 kPa, i.e., below the threshold for sensation of normal skin. Results for the standard package (Sylgard 184, E = 1 MPa, total thickness = ≈1 mm) appear in Figure 3a (middle and right columns). Here, both edges ripple and delaminate (red dashed circles in Figure 3a, middle column) for ε larger than ≈5%. In fact, this system is sufficiently stiff that the standard package must be stretched directly (as opposed to stretching by application of forces to the phantom skin in Figure 3a, left column) to reach 20% strain (Figure 3a, right column). Here, the corresponding shear and normal stresses of the surface of the skin are substantially higher than the threshold for sensation (20 kPa), with peak values that reach ≈100 kPa.

As indicated in the image in the center of Figure 3a, delamination tends to initiate from the edges, at points of stress concentrations. Figure 3b confirms that these stresses evaluated across a 25 µm wide boundary zone around the edge of the core/shell structure are much lower than those of the standard package. For the former, the values lie below the threshold for normal skin sensitivity (20 kPa), even at ε = 20%. By contrast, even at 5% strain, the stress concentrations for the standard package reach ≈100 kPa.
(>30%) where stretching of the horseshoe shapes causes the filaments to begin to reach full extension such that the material itself, rather than the motions of the filaments, dominates the response. Figure 4d presents optical images of a system with this type of strain-limiting layer, mounted on the phantom skin as with results of Figure 3a, for uniaxial stretching at opposite ends of the skin to $\varepsilon = 10\%$ and 20%. The nonuniform deformations in the skin at high strains (>20%) illustrate that the resulting mechanics associated with the strain-limiting layer leads to reductions in the levels of strain in this region upon overall stretching of the skin. The FEA results in Figure 4e confirm that the stresses at the skin surface for $\varepsilon \approx 20\%$ remain within the normal human skin sensitivity (20 kPa). The stress distributions with and without the strain-limiting layer plotted over a scale that corresponds to heightened skin sensitivity (2 kPa) appear in Figure S8 (Supporting Information).

This core/shell concept is compatible with emerging commercial classes of stretchable electronic systems. An example exploits a wearable device (MC10, USA) equipped with tri-axis accelerometers, temperature sensors, a Bluetooth low-energy communication system, and a battery, all connected in an island/serpentine geometry. Figure 5a presents this system in a core/shell structure (left image, $h_{\text{core}} = 1 \text{ mm}$, $h_{\text{shell}} = 5 \mu\text{m}$) and in a standard package (right image, Sylgard 184, total thickness $\approx 2 \text{ mm}$), laminated on the wrist. The device in the core/shell structure can intimately integrate onto the epidermis without delaminations throughout the natural range of motions of the wrist. By contrast, the device in the standard package tends to easily delaminate from the edge. This behavior arises from the low effective tensile modulus for the core/shell case (40 kPa), i.e., $\approx 70$ times smaller than that for the standard package (2.8 MPa), confirmed by the experimental and computational (FEA) stress–strain measurements (Figure 5b). The enabled functionality allows wireless, real-time monitoring of accelerations and temperature during vigorous exercise (i.e., dumbbell lifting) as illustrated in Figure S9 (Supporting Information). The results in this simple demonstration indicate that the body temperature rises quickly, by $\approx 1.5 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, during lifting (10 lb weight, seven times for $\approx 35 \, \text{s}$) followed by a slow decrease during a subsequent resting state (Figure 5c), along with the visualized arm motions from the measured accelerations in $x$, $y$, and $z$-axis (Figure 5d).

Figure 5. a) Optical images (scale bar, 1 cm) for a core/shell structure (left) and a standard package (right) with commercially available wireless electronics (MC10, USA), laminated on the wrist. Insets (scale bar, 1 cm) show enlarged images near the edges. b) Experimental and FEA results of stress–strain responses (in logarithmic scale) for these systems, with the electronics. c) Real-time monitoring of temperature changes during the exercise (10 lb dumbbell lifting, seven times for $\approx 35 \, \text{s}$) and in a resting condition. d) The corresponding changes in accelerations in $x$, $y$ and $z$-axis.
Human skin-like colors, textures, and other features can readily be incorporated to modulate the physical appearance and aesthetics of the system. Figure 6a shows an example obtained with a commercially available pigment (Slic Pig, Flesh tone silicone pigment, Smooth-On, Inc.). The Dragon Skin (1:1 ratio by weight of part A and part B) was mixed with Slic Pig (3% by weight) and then applied on the forearm, followed by curing at room temperature for \(\approx 1\) h. Peeling the fully cured artificial skin completed the process where the textured surface allowed it to be used as a mould (Figure S10, Supporting Information).
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**3. Conclusion**

The soft core/shell concepts introduced here provide simple, straightforward means to enhance the properties of stretchable electronic devices by minimizing interface stresses and mechanical constraints on natural motions and by improving the overall stretchability. These characteristics are particularly important in applications that involve soft, intimate integration allowing delamination (Figure 6b). The human skin-like surface texturing on the surface of core/shell package results from use of a mould that offers skin-like texture (see the Experimental Section for the details). A representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the textured surface of the core/shell package appears in Figure 6c.

**4. Experimental Section**

**Finite Element Analysis (FEA): ABAQUS commercial software**\[^{12}\] was used to study the mechanics response of core/shell package and standard package. Silbione, Ecoflex, and Sylgard 184 were modeled by the hexahedron element (C3D8R), while the electronics and strain-limiting layer were modeled by the composite shell element (S4R).

**Preparation of Artificial Skin Mould:** A mixture of commercially available materials was used for preparing the artificial skin sample: Dragon Skin (Dragon Skin 30, Smooth-On, Inc.) and Slic Pig (Slic Pig, Flesh tone silicone pigment, Smooth-On, Inc.). A patterned campus logo "I" for the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign appears in the middle of the surface. b) Enlarged image (scale bar, 5 mm) at a corner of the core/shell structure to highlight the rounded corner and tapered edge. c) SEM image (scale bar, 0.5 mm) of the human skin-like textured surface.

---

**Figure 6.** a) Optical image (scale bar, 1 cm) of core/shell package applied on the wrist during dumbbell lifting. The inset (scale bar, 1 cm) shows an image of the core/shell structure only. A patterned campus logo “I” for the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign appears in the middle of the surface. b) Enlarged image (scale bar, 5 mm) at a corner of the core/shell structure to highlight the rounded corner and tapered edge. c) SEM image (scale bar, 0.5 mm) of the human skin-like textured surface.
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**SI figure captions**

**Figure S1.** Detailed dimensions of a mechanical test structure for the electronics.

**Figure S2.** FEA results of strain distributions of \( \varepsilon = 22\% \), \( \varepsilon = 5\% \).

**Figure S3.** FEA results of shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions of the skin for the heightened skin sensitivity (2 kPa) at 20% strain with different \( h_{\text{core}} \) from 50 to 300 \( \mu \text{m} \).

**Figure S4.** FEA results of shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions of the skin with different \( h_{\text{shell}} \) from 5 to 15 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the normal skin sensitivity (20 kPa).

**Figure S5.** FEA results of shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions of the skin with different \( h_{\text{shell}} \) from 5 to 15 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the heightened skin sensitivity (2 kPa).

**Figure S6.** a, Optical images and b, FEA results for shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions of the skin for the core/shell package system at 20% lateral strain.
**Figure S7.**  

a, FEA results of normal stress concentration near the edge for the core/shell structure at 20% strain and the standard package at 5% strain.  
b, FEA results of normal stress concentration near the edge at 20% strain with different $h_{\text{core}}$ from 50 to 500 $\mu$m.  
c, FEA results of normal stress concentration near the edge at 20% strain with different $h_{\text{shell}}$ from 5 to 15 $\mu$m.  
d, FEA results of normal stress concentration near the edge with different tapered angles ($\alpha = 5, 30, 45, 75, 90^\circ$). Inset shows a cross-sectional illustration of the core/shell package with the electronics that consists of tapered angle of $\alpha$.

**Figure S8.** FEA results of shear (left) and normal (right) stress distributions of the skin for the core/shell structure a, with and b, without a strain-limiting layer for the heightened skin sensitivity (2 kPa) at 20% strain.

**Figure S9.** A schematic illustration of dumbbell lifting exercise for the demonstrations in Fig. 5c and d.

**Figure S10.** Photographic illustration of the steps for preparing artificial skin sample.  
a, Mixture of dragon skin with slic pig was peeled away from the forearm (scale bar, 2 cm).  
b, A segment of artificial skin was prepared (scale bar, 2 cm).  
c, An enlarged optical image of the red dashed region in Supplementary Fig. S10b (scale bar, 4 mm).  
d, The skin-colored core/shell package with the electronics was cast and then peeled away from a 3D printed mould (scale bar, 1 cm).  
e, A side of the package was placed on the artificial skin mould with gentle pressure to allow the surface texturing (scale bar, 2 cm).  
f, Human skin-like textured core/shell package was prepared.
(scale bar, 1 cm). Inset shows a SEM image of the blue dashed region in Supplementary Fig. S10f (scale bar, 0.5 mm).
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